IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 226 of 2007 (S/B)
Old No. 23572/1999

Roop Chandra Lakhera
S/o Sri Goverdhan Pd. Lakhera,
R/o Sitanpur Devi Road, Kotdwar,
District Pauri Garhwal ….. Petitioner

Versus

The Public Service Commission, U.P. Allahabad
through its Secretary ……Respondent

……

Sri Atul Tripathi, Advocate on behalf of Sri B. D. Upadhyaya, Advocate for the petitioner.
Sri Lalit Belwal, Advocate for the respondent.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble J.C.S. Rawat, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Sri Atul Tripathi, Advocate on behalf of Sri B.D. Upadhyaya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sri Lait Belwal, Advocate for the respondent.

2. The learned counsel for the parties submit that the writ petition filed by petitioner Roop Chandra Lakhera in the year 1999, seeking a direction to the respondent to disclose the marks secured by the petitioner in the examination conducted by the Public Service Commission in the year 1997, has now become infructuous on account of the lapse of a period of about 10 years since the filing of the writ petition.

3. The writ petition, therefore, is dismissed as infructuous.

(J.C.S. Rawat, J.) **(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.)**

26.12.2007 26.12.2007

A